

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/842,549	BAER, RICHARD L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** APPEAL BRIEF

(1) Carramah J. Quiett (Patent Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Horstmann (Applicant's Representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 June 2006

**Time:** 3:20 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
None

Claims discussed:  
1, 5, and 9

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Applicant's Representative to propose an Examiner's Amendment to claims 1, 5, and 9. The Applicant's Representative has agreed to the items listed in the Examiner's Amendment (office action date June 19, 2006).*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



NGOC-YEN VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)